

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/284,114	09/284,114 04/07/1999		SHIMON SAKAGUCHI	07898/038001	1911
20985	7590	10/24/2003		EXAMINER	
FISH & RIC		,	WILSON, MICHAEL C		
12390 EL CA SAN DIEGO		<del>-</del>	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	·			1632	
				DATE MAILED: 10/24/2003	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/284,114	SAKAGUCHI, SHIMON					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Michael C. Wilson	1632					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 14 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in	i				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the	f the final rejection.	o				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The da have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the distance statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extension fee und the final Office action; or (2) as set forth	der n in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying	the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NOT place the	9				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo	)□ will be entered and an ow or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>13,18,21 and 22</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other:							
		MICHAEL WILSON PRIMARY EXAMINE					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01)

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 009/284,114

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: pg 2, lines 20-21, and pg 3, lines 1-7, do not suggest mice having characteristics of rheumatoid arthritis are obtained from an embryo deposited as BP-7790 as proposed and would require a new matter rejection. In particular, pg 3 describes the SKG strain as having characteristics of rheumatoid arthritis; applicants have not provided a nexus between the SKG strain and the embryos deposited as BP-7790. It cannot be determined from the specification that the embryos deposited are the SKG strain.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants argue the mice described on pg 5-6 are back-crosses independent of the deposit. Applicants argument is not persuasive because no such conclusion can be made from the specification; the specification does not teach which embryos were used for deposit, nor is it readily apparent when the SKG strain was obtained during the back-crosses.

,